ORIGINAL PAPER

# **Resistance to** *Thielaviopsis basicola* in the cultivated A genome cotton

Chen Niu · Harriet E. Lister · Bay Nguyen · Terry A. Wheeler · Robert J. Wright

Received: 2 June 2008 / Accepted: 12 August 2008 / Published online: 27 August 2008 © Springer-Verlag 2008

Abstract Black root rot (BRR), incited by the soilborne pathogen Thielaviopsis basicola has the potential to cause significant economic loss in cotton (Gossypium spp.) production. Cultivated tetraploids of cotton (G. hirsutum and G. barbadense) are susceptible although resistant types have been identified in a possible tetraploid progenitor, G. herbaceum. Genetic mapping was used to detect the chromosomal locations of quantitative trait loci (QTL) that confer resistance to the BRR pathogen. A population of F<sub>2</sub> individuals (G. herbaceum  $\times$  G. arboreum) and F<sub>2.3</sub> progeny families were examined. Phenotypic variation between resistant and susceptible reactions could be explained partly by three QTL. The BRR5.1, BRR9.1, and BRR13.1 QTL each explained 19.1, 10.3 and 8.5% of the total phenotypic variation, respectively. The combination of all three in a single genetic model explained 32.7% of the phenotypic variation. Comparative analysis was conducted on significant QTL regions to deduce the cotton-Arabidopsis synteny relationship and examine the correspondence between BRR QTL and Arabidopsis pathogen defense genes. Totally 20 Arabidopsis synteny segments corresponded within one of three BRR QTL regions. Each synteny

Communicated by A. Paterson.

Chen Niu, Harriet E. Lister, and Bay Nguyen contributed equally to this work.

C. Niu · H. E. Lister · B. Nguyen · R. J. Wright (⊠) Department of Plant and Soil Science, Texas Tech University, P.O. Box 42122, Lubbock, TX 79409, USA e-mail: robert.wright@ttu.edu

T. A. Wheeler · R. J. Wright Texas AgriLife Research, 1102 East FM 1294, Lubbock, TX 79403, USA segment contains many potential *Arabidopsis* candidate genes. A total of 624 *Arabidopsis* genes, including 22 pathogen defense and 36 stress response genes, could be placed within the syntenic regions corresponding to the BRR QTL. Fine mapping is needed to delineate each underlying BRR R-gene and possible *Arabidopsis* orthologs. Research and breeding activities to examine each QTL and underlying genes in Upland cotton (*G. hirsutum*) are ongoing.

#### Introduction

Tetraploid cotton (G. hirsutum and G. barbadense) is the number one natural fiber resource for the textile industry, and is an important commodity to the world economy. Diseases can cause substantial yield loss and reduced fiber quality in all cotton producing countries of the world. In the USA alone, estimated annual yield loss due to diseases from 1995 to 2005 was 2,668,262 bales (Blasingame 2006). Considering that the average value of the USA cotton crop was about \$7.7 billion (\$0.32 per kg) per year, disease accounted for an \$897 million annual loss in revenue. Among various cotton diseases, black root rot (BRR) caused by the soilborne fungus, Thielaviopsis basicola (Berk. & Broome Ferris, syn. Chalara elegans Nag Raj & Kendrick), is a threat to cotton production (Rothrock 1997; Wheeler et al. 2000). Primary symptoms include necrosis of the tap and lateral roots that result in reduced plant vigor (stunting) and delayed maturity (Minton and Garber 1983). Seedlings with extensive root damage may perish (Walker et al. 2000). The damage is particularly severe when there is an extended period of cool weather in the spring (Rothrock 1992), or if the root-knot nematode, Meloidogyne incognita is also present (Walker et al. 1998). Thielaviopsis basicola and M. incognita interaction results

in substantial damage to the root system (Walker et al. 1999, 2000). Chemical control with triadimenol or myclobutanil has been insufficient to manage BRR (Kaufman et al. 1998).

BRR resistant genotypes have not been available for the breeding of superior germplasm (Wheeler et al. 1999). This is attributed to a lack of resistance in cultivated G. hirsutum (Upland) and G. barbadense. Traits for which highly resistant germplasm is available, such as bacterial blight caused by Xanthomonas campestris pv. malvaceraum, are improved readily by breeding of resistant cultivars to reduce or eliminate disease losses (Bird 1986). Wheeler et al. (1999) examined approximately 1,000 tetraploid and diploid accessions, of which an A-genome diploid cotton species, G. arboreum (var. PI 1415), had reduced root necrosis when challenged with 337-550 chlamydospores/  $cm^3$  soil from *T. basicola* compared with a susceptible check. However, PI 1415 had significantly higher root necrosis ratings when challenged with 500-1,000 chlamydospores/cm<sup>3</sup> soil compared with G. herbaceum var. "A20" (PI 408778) (Wheeler and Gannaway 2007). No other cotton germplasm has been identified with better resistance than A20 (Wheeler and Gannaway 2007). The lack of BRR resistance in cultivated tetraploid cotton dictates a need to identify resistance (R) genes that could be deployed in elite Upland cotton cultivars.

Tetraploid cotton (2n = 4x = 52) arose some 1–2 million years ago through the hybridization of an Old World taxon of the A-genome cytogenetic group, related to the species G. arboreum and G. herbaceum (2n = 2x = 26), with a taxon of the D-genome group related to the New World species G. raimondii or G. gossypioides (2n = 2x = 26)(Beasley 1940; Wendel et al. 1992, 1995). During the domestication and improvement of Upland cotton, intensive selection for agronomic and quality traits led to a narrowing of the gene pool. The utilization of tertiary germplasm sources to mitigate the consequences of this narrowing of the gene pool was demonstrated in the 1940 s when genes conferring resistance to X. campestris pv. malvacearum, were successfully transferred from G. arboreum into tetraploid cotton (Knight 1948, 1955). These R-genes historically have been an important source of resistance to bacterial blight. A detailed examination of a trait's heredity using molecular genetics may lead to a molecular breeding strategy to exploit underrepresented germplasm resources and ancient gene complexes and reveal knowledge about cotton evolution (Jiang et al. 1998; Wright et al. 1998; Brubaker et al. 1999; Abdalla et al. 2001; Rong et al. 2004, 2005; Desai et al. 2006).

Cotton has several interesting evolutionary features that include the divergence of R-genes through the radiation of species, genetic bottleneck events such as polyploidy, and the domestication of a small subset of *Gossypium* species. The implications of these events for host-pathogen interactions are of interest. Analysis of the subgenomic  $(A_t vs. D_t)$ distribution of genes conferring resistance to bacterial blight in tetraploid AD-genome cottons provided information on the impact of allopolyploid formation on host-pathogen interactions (Wright et al. 1998). Among seven resistance genes derived from tetraploid cottons, six (86%) mapped to D-subgenome chromosomes. This suggests that the D-subgenome of tetraploid cotton has a higher propensity to give rise to new R-gene alleles than the A-subgenome, and may indicate that polyploid formation offers novel avenues for phenotypic response to selection. However, the divergence of R-gene alleles does not always parallel that of speciation. As a result, alleles (allelic frequency) can be lost or fixed in descendant populations (species). The examination of R-genes alleles believed lost during speciation events may help clarify the host-pathogen relationship in descendant species. To examine the evolution/divergence of R-genes in Gossypium, research that examines the genetic control of cotton disease resistance may benefit from Arabidopsis genetic resources and knowledge. Gossypium and Arabidopsis are thought to have shared common ancestry about 83-86 MYA (Benton 1993), and cotton may be the best crop outside of the Brassicales in which to employ translational genomics from Arabidopsis (Rong et al. 2007).

The present research was designed to examine the inheritance of resistance to *T. basicola* in the progenitor A-genome diploid cotton using molecular markers to identify QTL that explain the phenotypic variation of resistant and susceptible disease reactions.

## Materials and methods

Population development and phenotyping of the mapping population

A population segregating for resistance to *T. basicola* was created by crossing the highly resistant *G. herbaceum* var. A20 (hereafter *GH*) parent (Wheeler and Gannaway 2007) with the partially resistant *G. arboreum* var. PI 1415 (hereafter *GA*) parent (Wheeler et al. 1999). Progeny ( $F_2$ ) were produced from self-fertilized  $F_1$  plants to maintain a strict control of purity. Resistant and susceptible disease reactions were determined for 129  $F_2$  individuals and the  $F_{2:3}$  derived families. BRR phenotypes were assessed based on the following method. The fungus was grown on carrot agar for at least 6 weeks, the chlamydospores were washed from the plates into water, blended for 11 s, and poured through a 230-µm pore-sized sieve over a 37-µm pore-sized sieve. The contents from the smaller pored sieve were washed with tap water, stirred for several hours, quantified

with a hemacytometer and adjusted to 40,000-50,000 chlamydospores  $ml^{-1}$ . A measure of 2 ml of the spore solution were added to 100 cm<sup>3</sup> soil (77% sand, 9% silt, and 14% clay), and hand mixed for 1 min. The inoculated soil (800–1,000 spores/cm<sup>3</sup> soil) was added to a 115-cm<sup>3</sup> container (model RLC7, Stuewe & Sons, Inc., Corvallis, OR) and planted with a single seed. The 129  $F_2$ individuals were placed in a growth chamber at  $19 \pm 3^{\circ}C$ in a completely randomized design which contained the resistant (GH) and susceptible (GA) parents. At  $\sim$ 25 days after planting, the soil was carefully washed from the roots. Disease ratings (0-100%) were assessed visually based on the percentage of necrotic root tissue. A 50% disease rating denotes that necrosis was observed on half the total root area. After visual assessment, each F2 plant was then carefully transplanted and grown to maturity. The seed from each plant  $(F_{2,3})$  was harvested and the progeny tested again to obtain an improved disease rating. A randomized complete block design with 25 replications was used to assess resistant and susceptible disease reaction of the progeny. Each replication contained a single individual from each  $F_{2:3}$  family and the GA and GH parents.

The average (mean) disease score of each  $F_{2:3}$  family was calculated and used as a second phenotypic data set. A third data set ( $F_2$ -adjusted) was created to offset possible disease escapes based on single  $F_2$  plant phenotypes. This adjusted data set was based on the following modification of the  $F_2$  data set. The difference ( $\Delta$ ) between  $F_2$  and  $F_{2:3}$ disease reactions (measures) were calculated and when  $\Delta \ge 30\%$ ,  $F_2$  phenotypes were adjusted to reflect the higher (more susceptible) disease score. In no case did this deviation reflect an  $F_{2:3}$  phenotype less susceptible ( $\Delta \ge 30\%$ ) than observed in the  $F_2$ . All three data sets were used in the QTL analysis.

# SSR marker genotypes

Genomic DNA of the parental and F<sub>2</sub> individuals was isolated from young leaf tissue using a CTAB based method (Paterson et al. 1993). DNA quantity was measured using the NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE USA) and adjusted to a working concentration of 20 ng/µl. DNA integrity of each sample was checked on a 0.8% agarose gel against Lambda DNA/HindIII Markers. Parental genotypes of 437 SSR loci were determined, of which 157 were polymorphic and selected to genotype the entire mapping population. These SSRs include BNL, CIR, CMS, JESPR, MGHES, NAU and TM, which have been described in detail (Reddy et al. 2001; Nguyen et al. 2004; Han et al. 2004; Qureshi et al. 2004). Polymerase chain reactions (PCR) were performed in a 25  $\mu$ l reaction volume that contained 50 ng template DNA, 1 × PCR buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM KCl, pH

8.3), 1% PVP-10, 1 mg/ml BSA, 0.1 mM dNTPs, 0.4 μM of each forward and reverse primer, 1.5-3.0 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> (primer dependent), and 0.05 U/µl Taq polymerase. Each reaction was initially denatured at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 40 cycles of 94°C for 45 s, 51-61°C (optimized for individual primer pair) for 45 s, and 72°C for 2 min, and a final extension at 72°C for 7 min using an eppendorf Mastercycler epgradient (Eppendorf AG. Hamburg, Germany). SSR fragments were resolved on 4% metaphor agarose gels (Cambrex, Bio Science Rockland, Inc. Rockland, ME USA) or 5-7% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gels (Omnipur Acrylamide:Bis Solution 19:1 DMD Chemicals Inc. Gibbstown, NJ. USA). All gels were stained with ethidium bromide and recorded by using AlphaImager® HP (Alpha Innotech, San Leandro, CA USA). Polymorphic fragments were scored manually as dominant or co-dominant loci.

#### Linkage and QTL analysis

A linkage map was constructed from the 157 polymorphic loci using MAPMAKER/EXP 3.0 (Lander et al. 1987). A LOD score of 3.0 and recombination fraction of 0.4 (Kosambi mapping function) were used as the threshold criteria for linkage (Kosambi 1944). The colinearity of each linkage group was compared to a diploid map (Desai et al. 2006) and the tetraploid maps of Han et al. (2004), Nguyen et al. (2004) and Rong et al. (2004) to assist in the assignment of each linkage group. Quantitative trait loci (QTL) were identified by composite interval mapping using Windows QTL Cartographer 2.5 (Wang et al. 2007). The position of each QTL was inferred if the LR score exceeded a threshold calculated from 1,000 permutation runs. Allelic effects, and the percentage of phenotypic variance (PV) explained by each QTL, were calculated at the likelihood peak.

#### Comparative analysis of BRR QTL

Comparative analysis was conducted on significant QTL regions to deduce the cotton–*Arabidopsis* synteny relationship and examine the correspondence between BRR QTL and *Arabidopsis* pathogen defense genes. Each QTL region was aligned with the corresponding cotton consensus map (Rong et al. 2005; http://chibba.agtec.uga.edu/cgi-bin/cmap/ viewer) based on conserved marker loci to locate BRR QTL on the consensus map. Markers that flanked the 99% confidence interval of each QTL were used in this step. Consensus fragments were subjected to both Fast Identification of Segmental Homologs (FISH) (Calabrese et al. 2003) and CrimeStatII (Levine 2002) software analysis to identify putatively duplicated genomic regions with the hypothetical ancestral cotton, as well as putatively corresponding regions between cotton and *Arabidopsis* (Rong et al. 2005). FISH preprocesses the matched locus data between two genomes to enforce symmetry and remove noise from the data set, and then identifies sets of neighbors. The CrimeStatII (Levine 2002) software was initially developed for the analysis of crime-occurrence data, the package performs measurements of central tendency, spatial autocorrelation, and hot-spot analysis that can be applied to any spatial data set. This package has proven to be a powerful tool for assessing spatial patterns in genomic data sets (Rong et al. 2005).

# Results

### Phenotypic variation for Thielaviopsis reactions

Individual plant ( $F_2$ ) reactions to *T. basicola* infestation were distributed continuously from resistant to susceptible (with a mean of 25.0% and standard deviation of 21.9%) but skewed toward disease resistance (Fig. 1a). The partially resistant *G. arboreum* parent (susceptible at concentrations above 500 spores/cm<sup>3</sup> soil) had an average disease rating of 57% compared to less than 5% in the highly-resistant *G. herbaceum* parent. The few disease lesions observed on the *GH* parent were a series of small infection sites rather than a concentrated area of necrosis.

In the evaluation of  $F_{2:3}$  families, root necrosis values fit a normal distribution, with mean of 46.5% and standard deviation of 33.7% (Fig. 1b). Heritability (H<sup>2</sup>), estimated using the variance of the parental and  $F_{2:3}$  disease scores, was 36.5%. The mean value of each  $F_{2:3}$  family was used in the QTL analysis.

The  $F_2$ -adjusted data set was created to resolve potential susceptible escapes in the  $F_2$  data (Fig. 1c). The result was a distribution less skewed toward resistance with a mean of 40.2% and standard deviation of 24.8%.

#### $GH \times GA$ genetic map

A total of 157 polymorphic SSR markers were used to construct a map of the  $GH \times GA$  population. With nine SSR markers unlinked to any groups, the map consisted of 12 linkage groups (LGs) including 148 SSR markers with a combined total genetic distance of 1,216 cM. The genomes of G. arboreum and G. herbaceum differ by a single reciprocal translocation (Menzel and Brown 1954). This chromosomal difference has been shown to cause pseudolinkage between markers near the interchange breakpoint of the chromosomes involved (Brubaker et al. 1999; Desai et al. 2006). Consequently, the map contains the 12 LGs that represent the collinear chromosomes (LG.A1, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 16) and a single linkage group (LG.A5) that has been implicated in the A genome translocation. This observation is consistant with two previous studies (Brubaker et al. 1999; Desai et al. 2006). The 148 SSR loci have an average spacing of 8.27 cM and ample colinearity (3-13 loci/linkage group) with one of several tetraploid maps to determine the orthologous LGs of A and A<sub>t</sub> (tetraploid A-subgenome) (Nguyen et al. 2004; Rong et al. 2004; Rong et al. 2005; Desai et al. 2006). The linear order of 72 common SSR loci generally agreed with the published cotton maps. A single change in order was noticed on LG.A1, 5, 6, and 14 and two order changes were found on LG.A3, 10, and 13 (Fig. 2). Chromosome recombination length varies from 16.9 to 193.2 cM. The total recombinational length (1,216 cM) of this map is similar to the A-genome map (1,147 cM) reported by Desai et al. (2006).

## QTL conferring BBR resistance

The chromosomal locations of three QTL (*BRR5.1*, *BRR9.1*, and *BRR13.1*) associated with the resistance phenotype, based on  $F_2$ ,  $F_{2:3}$ , and  $F_2$ -adjusted measures of disease reaction, are presented in Table 1 and Fig. 3. A region on LG.A5 (*BRR5.1*) explained 5.8–19.1% (LOD 2.74–5.75) of the phenotypic variation in all three data sets (Table 1, Fig. 3). In each case, a likelihood peak was observed in the interval between markers *BNL1693* and *NAU1072*. The *GH* allele improved resistance in each data set. Linkage Group 9 contained a second QTL (*BRR9.1*) that explained 8.2–10.3% (LOD 2.86–3.94) of the phenotypic variation in the  $F_2$ -adjusted and  $F_{2:3}$  data sets (Table 1, Fig. 3). The likeli-



**Fig. 1** Frequency distribution of percentage root necrosis in the *G. herbaceum*  $\times$  *G. arboreum* population. **a** F<sub>2</sub> disease reactions, **b** Average F<sub>2:3</sub> disease reactions, and **c** F<sub>2</sub>-adjusted disease score. The

*triangle and circle* represent mean disease scores for the *G. herbaceum* and *G. arboreum* parents, respectively

**Fig. 2** Colinearity of the *G. herbaceum*  $\times$  *G. arboreum* linkage map with the Nguyen et al. 2004 tetraploid map (N)



Table 1 Biometrical parameters of individual QTL conferring resistance to black root rot (BRR) of cotton

Data set	QTL	Locus	Interval <sup>a</sup>	Position <sup>b</sup>	LOD	% Var	Mode of QTL action <sup>c</sup>		
							a	d	d/a
F <sub>2</sub>	BRR5.1	LG.A5	BNL1693-NAU1072	6.01	2.74	5.8	-8.86	-0.80	0.09
F <sub>2:3</sub>	BRR5.1	LG.A5	BNL1693-NAU1072	5.01	5.75	19.1	-15.14	2.18	-0.14
F2-adjusted	BRR5.1	LG.A5	BNL1693-NAU1072	6.11	3.93	7.2	-11.00	-2.44	0.22
F <sub>2:3</sub>	BRR9.1	LG.A9	BNL2690-NAU0921	2.01	2.86	10.3	-9.83	1.56	-0.16
F2-adjusted	BRR9.1	LG.A9	BNL2690-NAU0921	3.01	3.94	8.2	-10.63	-3.36	0.32
F <sub>2:3</sub>	BRR13.1	LG.A13	BNL3442-BNL1034	2.01	3.02	8.5	-9.08	11.15	-1.23

<sup>a</sup> Markers flanking the QTL likelihood peak

<sup>b</sup> Position of the QTL likelihood peak (centi-Morgan from top)

<sup>c</sup> Biometrical parameters were calculated using dominance and recessiveness to refer to the behavior of the *G. herbaceum* alleles

hood peak for each mapped within the interval delineated by markers *BNL2690* and *NAU0921*. The *GH* allele increased resistance to *T. basicola*. A third QTL (*BRR13.1*), explained 8.5% of phenotypic variation among the  $F_{2:3}$  families (Table 1, Fig. 3). The interval between markers *BNL3442* and *BNL1034*, on LG.A13, contained the likelihood peak (LOD 3.02). The *GH* allele contributed to the increased BRR resistance (Table 1). The three QTL collectively explained 32.7% of the phenotypic variation in reaction to *T. basicola* among  $F_{2:3}$  families.

Comparative analysis of BRR QTL

The three BRR QTL were projected onto the cotton consensus map, which was inferred to resemble the DNA marker arrangement of the hypothetical ancestor of the two subgenomes of tetraploid cotton (Rong et al. 2005). Totally 20 *Arabidopsis* synteny segments corresponded to one of the three BRR QTL regions (Table 2). Cotton–*Arabidopsis* synteny within the *BRR5.1* QTL was defined by three FISH (DS08.76, D03.75, and D10.17) and three CrimeStatII (D03.98, D05.99, and D05.100) segments (Fig. 4). Eight *Arabidopsis* segements (DS01.260, DS02.262, D05.261, D11.263, D14.264, D14.39, D14.171, and D23.170) with inferred synteny with *BRR9.1* were identified. The *BRR13.1* QTL corresponding regions in *Arabidopsis* were delineated by six segments (DS05.166, DS02.34, D15.164, DS02.69, D03.143, and D12.68). The gene ontology of 624 *Arabidopsis* genes located in syntenic regions revealed 22 pathogen defense and 36 stress response genes (Table 2).

#### Discussion

Congruence among F<sub>2</sub>, F<sub>2:3</sub>, and F<sub>2</sub>-adjusted mapping data

There was general agreement among the three data sets with regard to the detection of QTL inferred from statistical analysis. The *BRR5.1* QTL was detected in all three data sets ( $F_2$ ,  $F_{2:3}$ , and  $F_2$ -adjusted) with the likelihood peak

Fig. 3 Chromosomal location of QTL conferring resistance to *Thielaviopsis basicola. Bars* along the linkage groups indicate 90% (1-LOD) likelihood intervals for the QTL, and *whiskers* indicate 99% (2-LOD) likelihood intervals. Marker names and genetic distances (in centi-Morgan) are shown at the *right* and *left* of each linkage group



delineated by the same markers. The *BRR9.1* QTL detected in two ( $F_{2:3}$  and  $F_2$ -adjusted) of three data sets was also delineated by a common set of marker loci. These results suggest that the relative position of a QTL is not altered among the datasets but the power of detecting QTL is improved when phenotypes are replicated. This observation is evident by the higher LOD score, smaller likelihood interval, and greater phenotypic variation explained in the  $F_{2:3}$  dataset. This observation is consistent with QTL mapping of replicated phenotypic data (Zhang and Xu 2004).

The identified QTL on LG.A5, 9 and 13 indicated the presence of at least three genes conditioning reactions to *T. basicola*. For each of the three QTL, as expected, the *GH* alleles contributed the increased resistance. It is possible that additional QTL remain undetected; however, the three QTL model explained almost all (32.7%) of the genetic variability estimated by broad-sense heritability (36.5%). This suggests that the remaining non-genetic variation (63.5%) probably does not reflect undetected QTL.

#### Disease escapes

In the assessment of individual  $F_2$  plants it was clear that individual plant disease ratings were not necessarily accurate and that some susceptible individuals were rated similarly to resistant types (i.e., escapes). However, a common QTL (*BRR5.1*) with the largest phenotypic effect was identified in both  $F_2$  and  $F_{2:3}$  data sets, indicating the utility of  $F_2$  data in this study. Assessing the disease reactions of each  $F_{2:3}$  family substantially improved the reliability of the QTL analysis, identifying two QTL that could not be resolved in  $F_2$  analysis alone. This is

evident by the congruence among *BRR5.1* mapping results and the two additional QTL detected in the  $F_{2:3}$  dataset (Figs. 1, 3).

LG A13

## Colinearity of resistance QTL

Defense response genes tend to cluster in plant genomes (Pflieger et al. 2001; Monosi et al. 2004). Although a small number of QTL or diagnostic markers associated with disease resistance have been mapped in cotton, BRR9.1 appears to map within a region that is homoeologus to a bacterial blight resistance QTL  $(Qb_{6c})$  on tetraploid Chromosome 20 (formerly LGD04) (Wright et al. 1998) (Fig. 5a). Although LG.A9 and Chromosomes 20 are homoeologous, it is not clear whether or not the *BRR9.1* and  $Qb_{6c}$  QTL map to corresponding locations. Both map within 10 cM of the homoeologous marker pAR09D03. Additional DNA markers will be needed to better delineate the comparative organization of these two chromosomes in order to determine whether the underlining QTL are truly homoeologous. A region on Chromosome 11 (LGA03) has been shown to confer resistance to Verticillium wilt (Verticillium dahliae Kleb) (Bolek et al. 2005; Wang et al. 2006b). More recently, a molecular marker and QTL associated with root knot nematode resistance (rkn1 and Mi-C11) also were detected on LGA03 (Wang et al. 2006a; Shen et al. 2006). The colinearity of these genes/QTL was compared with the orthologous A-genome region of LG.A13. The BRR13.1 QTL mapped at the opposite ends of the linkage group (Fig. 5b). It is possible that these BRR QTL are located coincidentally with other known resistance loci in the Table 2Pathogen defence and<br/>stress response genes on the Ara-<br/>bidopsis syntenty segments

QTL	Arabidopsis synteny segments <sup>a</sup>	Arabidopsis locus <sup>b</sup>	Gene function
BRR5.1	DS08.76 (91)	At3g47600	Response to stress
		At3g47830	Response to stress
		At3g47950	Response to stress
		At3g48030	Response to stress
		At3g48090	Defense
		At3g48425	Response to stress
	D03.75 (2)		
	D10.17 (47)	At2g21050	Defense
		At2g21110	Defense
		At4g38580	Response to stress
		At4g38620	Response to stress
	D05.100 (83)	At1g20440	Response to stress
		At1g20450	Response to stress
		At1g20510	Response to stress
		At1g20620	Response to stress
		At1g76670	Defense
		At1g76680	Response to stress
		At1g76690	Response to stress
		At1g76930	Response to stress
		At1g77000	Response to stress
		At1g77100	Response to stress
		At1g77120	Response to stress
	D05.99 (26)	At1g22900	Defense
		At1g13010	Response to stress
		At1g23120	Defense
		At1g23130	Defense
	D03.98 (37)	At1g09740	Response to stress
		At1g09760	Response to stress
		At1g09770	Defense
		At1g09780	Response to stress
		At1g58170	Defense
		At1g58200	Response to stress
BRR91	DS01 260 (14)	At1904400	Response to stress
bruton	DS02.262 (6)	inigotioo	
	D05261(2)		
	$D_{11} 263 (3)$	At2g38750	Response to stress
	D14.264(3)	11250750	Response to suces
	D14.204(0)	At3g11170	Response to stress
	D14.57 (60)	At3g11410	Response to stress
		At3g11410	Defense
		At3g11650	Defense
		At3g11660	Defense
		At5a06150	Desponse to stress
		A15g00130	Defense
	D14 171 (4)	Alog00020	Detense
	$D_{14.1/1}(4)$ D22 170 (100)	A +5 a 62000	Domonoo ta ata
	D23.170(109)	ALJ203980	Response to stress
		Al3g04100	Response to stress
		At5g64110	Response to stress

Table 2   continued	QTL	Arabidopsis synteny segments <sup>a</sup>	Arabidopsis locus <sup>b</sup>	Gene function
			At5g64120	Defense
			At5g64250	Defense
			At5g64290	Defense
			At5g64630	Response to stress
			At5g64750	Response to stress
			At5g64840	Defense
			At5g64900	Defense
			At5g64930	Defense
			At5g64960	Defense
	BRR13.1	DS05.166 (11)	At3g48900	Response to stress
		DS02.34 (3)		
		D15.164 (2)	At3g23830	Response to stress
		DS02.69 (3)		
		D03.143 (29)	At1g12110	Response to stress
			At1g12210	Defense
<sup>a</sup> Parentheses indicate the total			At1g12220	Defense
number of Arabidopsis gene on			At1g12270	Response to stress
<ul> <li><sup>b</sup> Arabidopsis locus tag ID</li> </ul>		D12.68 (75)		

same chromosome. BRR and other resistance gene functions will need to be further investigated to determine whether they coincide and reveal more information on cotton R-gene evolution.

#### Comparative analysis

Comparative analysis linked to synteny-based information revealed several regions of correspondence between BRR QTL and twenty *Arabidopsis* synteny segments. This is an important benchmark to identify candidate genes using *Arabidopsis* genetic resources. The inferred position of 624 *Arabidopsis* genes can be placed within BRR QTL regions, which include 22 pathogen defense and 36 stress response genes. This synteny based comparison may provide clues regarding possible cotton defense responses genes. However, fine-mapping is needed to validate that the underlying BRR R-gene(s) do map within an *Arabidopsis* syntenic region. This will also condense the potential list of canadidate genes to a manageable number for analysis.

## Resistance mechanism

The mechanism that confers resistance to BRR in cotton has not been intensively examined. In tobacco (*Nicotiana debneyi*), BRR resistance is controlled by a single dominant gene (Clayton 1969). This R-gene reduces lesion size, number, and secondary inoculum production of *T. basicola* (Hood and Shew 1996). The reduced root necrosis in the *GH* and other resistant progeny may resemble the resistant response in tobacco, though conidia production was not evaluated. Further characterization of the resistance mechanism will require a better understanding of the fungus-host coexistance that leads to disease and resistant reactions. By studying the function of each gene(s) underpinning each QTL(s) will ultimately lead to a better understanding of the resistance mechanism.

# Gene deployment (breeding)

The *BRR5.1*, *BRR9.1* and *BRR13.1* QTL provide a novel source of resistance that could be used to improve cultivated cotton. While tetraploid cotton is often reproductively incompatible with diploid cotton (Beasley 1942), the transfer and deployment of R-genes was accomplished successfully (Knight 1948). Knight successfully transferred bacterial blight resistance genes from diploid *G. arboreum* into tetraploid cotton by creating a synthetic tetraploid followed by successive back crossing to the tetraploid parent (Knight 1948, 1955). These R-genes historically have been a very important source of resistance to the most virulent bacterial blight causing races, including Race 18 (Bird 1982; Wright et al. 1998).

Cotton breeding is rapidly shifting from traditional phenotypic selection to genetic technologies that enable the direct selection and examination of genes or alleles. DNA markers that detect *BRR5.1*, *BRR9.1* and *BRR13.1* will aid in the introgression of BRR resistance by improving selection efficiency and shortening the intro-



**Fig. 4** A CMap display showing the inferred *Arabidopsis* syntenic regions (D03.75, DS08.76, and D10.17; FISH analysis) to *BRR5.1* on cotton consensus chromosome C02 (from 55 to 85 cM). *Solid lines* link putative orthologs between cotton and *Arabidopsis* which were used to

infer correspondence in this region. Identifiers in bold are defense or stress response genes used to align syntenic regions. The *bar* on the *right* of the linkage group indicates the 90% (1-LOD) likelihood interval and *whiskers* indicate 99% (2-LOD) likelihood interval

gression time-line. The implications of this shift for breeding and using novel germplasm sources have recently been realized. The development of resistance to reniform nematodes (*Rotylenchulus reniformis*) from F-genome diploid *G. longicalyx* (Robinson et al. 2007) used a strategy that merged new and traditional technologies to accelerate the discovery and transfer of resistance into elite cotton. Concurrently, fine-mapping will better delineate each QTL region and eventually lead to the physical isolation and cloning of each R-gene sequence. The direct transformation of isolated R-gene(s) will provide an alternative strategy for the development of resistant Upland cotton. Once transferred, via transformation or conventional breeding, it is important to assess the Fig. 5 a Colinearity of *BRR9.1* and bacterial blight resistance  $Qb_{6c}$  (Wright et al. 1998) based on homoeologous marker loci (Rong et al. 2004) (R). b Colinearity of *BRR13.1* and root knot nematode resistance *Mi-C11* (Shen et al. 2006) (S) and *rkn1* (Wang et al. 2006a) (W). Solid lines link common marker loci (*bold*) among the different mapping experiments



efficacy of each R-gene and its breeding value in the tetraploid genome.

Acknowledgments We thank Dr. Hirut Kebede for her valuable assistance and suggestions, and Cotton Incorporated (Project 04-531) and the Texas State Support Committee (Project 04-518TX) for financial support.

## References

- Abdalla AM, Reddy OUK, El-Zik KM, Pepper AE (2001) Genetic diversity and relationship of diploid and tetraploid cottons revealed using AFLP. Theor Appl Genet 102:222–229
- Beasley JO (1940) The origin of American tetraploid *Gossypium* species. Am Nat 74:285–286
- Beasley JO (1942) Meiotic chromosome behavior in species, species hybrids, haploids, and induced polyploids of *Gossypium*. Genetics 27:25–54
- Benton MJ (1993) The fossil record, 2nd edn. Chapman & Hall, New York
- Bird LS (1982) The MAR (multi-adversity resistance) system for genetic improvement of cotton. Plant Dis 66:172–176
- Bird LS (1986) Half a century of dynamics and control of cotton disease: bacterial blight. In: Proceedings of the beltwide cotton Conference, Las Vegas, NV. 4–9 January 1986. National Cotton Council of America, Memphis, pp 24–33
- Blasingame D (2006) 2005 Cotton disease loss estimate. In: Proceedings of the beltwide Cotton Conference, San Antonio, TX. 3–6 January 2006. National Cotton Council of America, Memphis, pp 155–157
- Bolek Y, El-Zik KM, Pepper AE, Bell AA, Magill CW, Thaxton PM, Reddy OUK (2005) Mapping of verticillium wilt resistance genes in cotton. Plant Sci 168:1581–1590
- Brubaker CL, Paterson AH, Wendel JF (1999) Comparative genetic mapping of allotetraploid cotton and its diploid progenitors. Genome 42:184–203
- Calabrese PP, Chakravarty S, Vision TJ (2003) Fast identification and statistical evaluation of segmental homologies in comparative maps. Bioinformatics 19:i74–i80
- Clayton EE (1969) The study of resistance to the black root rot disease of tobacco. Tob Sci 13:30–37
- Desai A, Chee PW, Rong J, May OL, Paterson AH (2006) Chromosome structural changes in diploid and tetraploid A genomes of *Gossypium*. Genome 49:336–345

- Han ZG, Guo WZ, Song XL, Zhang TZ (2004) Genetic mapping of EST-derived microsatellites from the diploid *Gossypium arbore-um* in allotetraploid cotton. Mol Genet Genomics 272:308–327
- Hood ME, Shew HD (1996) Pathogenesis of *Thielaviopsis basicola* on a susceptible and a resistant cultivar of burley tobacco. Phytopathology 86:38–44
- Jiang CX, Wright RJ, El-zik K, Paterson AH (1998) Polyploid formation created unique avenues for response to selection in *Gossypi*um (cotton). Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 95:4419–4424
- Kaufman H, Wheeler T, Graves R, Schuster G, Kidd P, Siders K (1998) Large plot performance of seedling disease seed treatment fungicides. In: Proceedings of the beltwide cotton conference, San Diego, CA. 5–9 January 1998. National Cotton Council of America, Memphis, TN, pp 149–152
- Knight RL (1948) The genetics of blackarm resistance. VI. Transference of resistance from *Gossypium arboreum* to *G. barbadense*. J Genet 48:359–369
- Knight RL (1955) Cotton breeding in the Sudan. Emp J Exp Agric 21:168–184
- Kosambi D (1944) The estimation of map distance from recombination value. Ann Eugen 12:172–175
- Lander ES, Green P, Abrahamson J, Barlow A, Daly MJ, Lincoln SE, Newburg L (1987) MAPMAKER: an interactive computer package for constructing primary genetic linkage maps of experimental and natural populations. Genomics 1:174–181
- Levine N (2002) CrimeStat: a spatial statistics program for the analysis of crime incident locations (v 2.0). Ned Levine & Associates, Houston, TX, and the National Institute of Justice, Washington, DC
- Menzel MY, Brown MS (1954) The significance of multivalent formation in three-species Gossypium hybrids. Genetics 39:546–557
- Monosi B, Wisser RJ, Pennill L, Hulbert SH (2004) Full genome analysis of resistance gene homologues in rice. Theor Appl Genet 109:1434–1447
- Minton EB, Garber RH (1983) Controlling the seedling disease complex of cotton. Plant Dis 67:115–118
- Nguyen TB, Giband M, Brottier P, Risterucci AM, Lacape JM (2004) Wide coverage of the tetraploid cotton genome using newly developed microsatellite markers. Theor Appl Genet 109:167– 175
- Paterson AH, Brubaker CL, Wendel JF (1993) A rapid method for extraction of cotton (*Gossypium* spp.) genomic DNA suitable for RFLP and PCR analysis. Plant Mol Biol Rep 11:122–127
- Pflieger S, Lefebvre V, Causse M (2001) The candidate gene approach in plant genetics: a review. Mol Breed 7:275–291
- Qureshi SN, Saha S, Kantety RV, Jenkins JN (2004) EST-SSR: a new class of genetic markers in cotton. J Cotton Sci 8:112–123

- Reddy OUK, Pepper AE, Abdurakhmonov IY, Saha S, Jenkins JN, Brooks TD, Bolek Y, El-Zik KM (2001) New dinucleotide and trinucleotide microsatellite marker resources for cotton genome research. J Cotton Sci 5:103–113
- Robinson AF, Bell AA, Dighe ND, Menz MA, Nichols RL, Stelly DM (2007) Introgression of resistance to nematode *Rotylenchulus reniformis* into Upland Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) from *Gossypium longicalyx*. Crop Sci 47:1865–1877
- Rong J, Abbey C, Bowers JE, Brubaker CL, Chang C, Chee PW, Delmonte TA, Ding X, Garza JJ, Marler BS, Park C, Pierce GJ, Rainey KM, Rastogi VK, Schulze SR, Trolinder NL, Wendel JF, Wilkins TA, Williams-Coplin D, Wing RA, Wright RJ, Zhao X, Zhu L, Paterson AH (2004) A 3347-locus genetic recombination map of sequence-tagged sites reveals features of genome organization, transmission and evolution of cotton (*Gossypium*). Genetics 166:389–417
- Rong J, Bowers JE, Schulze SR, Waghmare VN, Rogers CJ, Pierce GJ, Zhang H, Estill JC, Paterson AH (2005) Comparative genomics of *Gossypium* and *Arabidopsis*: Unraveling the consequences of both ancient and recent polyploidy. Genome Res 15:1198–1210
- Rong J, Feltus FA, Waghmare VN, Pierce GJ, Chee PW, Draye X, Saranga Y, Wright RJ, Wilkins TA, Lloyd May O, Wayne Smith C, Gannaway JR, Wendel JF, Paterson AH (2007) Meta-analysis of polyploid cotton QTL shows unequal contributions of subgenomes to a complex network of genes and gene clusters implicated in lint fiber development. Genetics 176:2577–2588
- Rothrock CS (1992) Influence of soil temperature, water, and texture on *Thielaviopsis basicola* and black root rot of cotton. Phytopathology 82:1202–1206
- Rothrock CS (1997) Prevalence and distribution of *Thielaviopsis* basicola. In: Proceedings of the beltwide cotton conference, New Orleans, LA. 6–10 January 1997. National Cotton Council of America, Memphis, pp 75–77
- Shen X, Becelaere GV, Kumar P, Davis RF, May OL, Chee P (2006) QTL mapping for resistance to root-knot nematodes in the M-120 RNR Upland cotton line (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) of the Auburn 623 RNR source. Theor Appl Genet 113:1539–1549
- Walker NR, Kirkpatrick TL, Rothrock CS (1998) Interaction between Meloidogyne incognita and Thielaviopsis basicola on cotton (Gossypium hirsutum). J Nematol 30:415–422
- Walker NR, Kirkpatrick TL, Rothrock CS (1999) Effect of temperature on and histopathology of the interaction between *Meloidogyne*

*incognita* and *Thielaviospis basicola* on cotton. Phytopathology 89:613–617

- Walker NR, Kirkpatrick TL, Rothrock CS (2000) Influence of *Meloi*dogyne incognita and *Thielaviopsis basicola* populations on early-season disease development and cotton growth. Plant Dis 84:449–453
- Wang C, Ulloa M, Roberts P (2006a) Identification and mapping of microsatellite markers linked to a root-knot nematode resistance gene (*rkn1*) in Acala NemX cotton. Theor Appl Genet 112:770– 777
- Wang K, Song X, Han Z, Guo W, Yu JZ, Sun J, Pan J, Kohel RJ, Zhang T (2006b) Complete assignment of the chromosomes of *Gossypium hirsutum* L. by translocation and fluorescence in situ hybridization mapping. Theor Appl Genet 113:73–80
- Wang S, Basten CJ, Zeng ZB (2007) Windows QTL Cartographer 2.5. Department of statistics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh (http://statgen.ncsu.edu/qtlcart/WQTLCart.htm)
- Wendel JF, Brubaker CL, Percival AE (1992) Genetic diversity in Gossypium hirsutum and the origin of Upland cotton. Am J Bot 79:1291–1310
- Wendel JF, Schnabel A, Seelanan T (1995) An unusual ribosomal DNA sequence from *Gossypium gossypioides* reveals ancient, cryptic, intergenomic introgression. Mol Phylogenet Evol 4:298– 313
- Wheeler TA, Gannaway JR (2007) Identification of germplasm resistant to *Thielaviopsis basicola* in the USDA cotton germplasm collection. In: World cotton conference-4, 10–14 September 2007. Lubbock (in press)
- Wheeler TA, Gannaway JR, Keating K (1999) Identification of resistance to *Thielaviopsis basicola* in diploid cotton. Plant Dis 83:831–833
- Wheeler TA, Hake KD, Dever JK (2000) Survey of *Meloidogyne* incognita and *Thielaviopsis basicola*: Their impact on cotton fruiting and producers' management choices in infested fields. J Nematol 32:576–583
- Wright RJ, Thaxton P, Paterson AH, El-Zik K (1998) D-subgenome bias of *Xcm* resistance genes in tetraploid *Gossypium* (cotton) suggests that polyploid formation has created novel avenues for evolution. Genetics 149:1987–1996
- Zhang YM, Xu S (2004) Mapping quantitative trait loci in F<sub>2</sub> incorporating phenotypes of F<sub>3</sub> progeny. Genetics 166:1981–1993